

**MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney**  
**THOMAS H. PETERS, Chief Assistant City Attorney**  
**ERIC BROWN, Deputy City Attorney (State Bar No. 170410)**  
**Email: Eric.Brown@lacity.org**  
**200 North Main Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor**  
**Los Angeles, California 90012**  
**Telephone: 213.978.7508**  
**Facsimile: 213.978.7011**

**Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES, LT. ANDREW MATHIS,  
SGT. HAMER and SGT. RICHTER**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CARL MITCHELL, MICHEAL  
ESCOBEDO, SALVADOR ROQUE,  
JUDY COLEMAN, as individuals; LOS  
ANGELES CATHOLIC WORKER,  
CANGRESS, as organizations,

**PLAINTIFFS,**

**v.**

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal  
entity; LT. ANDREW MATHIS, SGT.  
HAMER and SGT. RICHTER, in their  
individual and official capacities,

**DEFENDANTS.**

**CASE NO. CV16-01750 SJO (JPRx)**  
*[Assigned to the Honorable S. James  
Otero, Courtroom 1]*

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE  
HEARING DATE OF THE CITY OF  
LOS ANGELES' MOTION FOR  
CLARIFICATION OF ORDER**

**[Submitted with proposed order]**

**Date: June 27, 2016**

**Time: 10 a.m.**

**Place: Courtroom 1**

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME**

It is hereby stipulated between Plaintiffs and the City of Los Angeles, Lt.  
Andrew Mathis, Sgt. Hamer and Sgt. Richter (collectively "the City") as follows:

1           1.     The City has filed a Motion for Clarification of the Court's order of  
2 April 13, 2016 issuing a preliminary injunction. The motion is set for June 27, 2016.

3           2.     The City and Plaintiffs had a mediated session on the City's concerns  
4 underlying the motion on May 9, 2016, before the Honorable Carla Woehrle.

5 Progress was made at that session, and the parties agreed that an additional session  
6 might prove fruitful.

7           3.     The parties have scheduled an additional mediation session before Judge  
8 Woehrle to take place during the week of June 20<sup>th</sup>.

9           4.     Plaintiffs' opposition to the motion is currently due June 6, 2016.

10          5.     In order to avoid the necessity of Plaintiffs needing to oppose the motion  
11 when a mediation on the same subject matter is pending, the parties request that the  
12 motion hearing date be continued.

13          6.     In light of the schedules of the various counsel in this action, the most  
14 convenient date to which to continue the motion would be July 25, 2016.

15          7.     The Plaintiffs and the City hereby stipulate, subject to Court approval, to  
16 continue the hearing date of the motion for clarification to July 25, 2016, in order to  
17 give the parties an opportunity to reach consensus on the issues underlying the  
18 motion.

19          It is so stipulated.

20       ///

21       ///

22       ///

23       ///

24       ///

25       ///

26       ///

27       ///

28       ///

1 Dated: June 2, 2016

SCHONBRUN SEPLOW HARRIS &  
HOFFMAN LLP

3 By: /s/

4 PAUL L. HOFFMAN  
5 CATHERINE SWEETSER

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

8 Dated: June 2, 2016

LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

9 By: /s/

10 ERIC BROWN

11 Attorneys for Defendants

13 Dated: June 2, 2016

LAW OFFICE OF CAROL A. SOBEL

15 By: /s/

16 CAROL A. SOBEL

17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

19 Dated: June 2, 2016

LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS  
ANGELES

21 By: /s/

22 FERNANDO GAYTAN  
23 SHAYLA R. MYERS

24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carl Mitchell, Judy  
25 Coleman, Michael Escobedo, CANGRESS,  
26 and Los Angeles Catholic Worker

27 All parties have authorized the use of their electronic signatures for this document.  
28